



Applied Physiology, Nutrition, and Metabolism
Physiologie appliquée, nutrition et métabolisme

**Postprandial Walking Reduces Glucose Levels in Women
 with Gestational Diabetes Mellitus**

Journal:	<i>Applied Physiology, Nutrition, and Metabolism</i>
Manuscript ID	apnm-2017-0494.R2
Manuscript Type:	Brief communication
Date Submitted by the Author:	29-Nov-2017
Complete List of Authors:	Coe, Dawn; The University of Tennessee Knoxville, Department of Kinesiology, Recreation, and Sport Studies Conger, Scott; Boise State University Kendrick, Jo; University of Tennessee Howard, Bobby; University of Tennessee Thompson, Dixie; University of Tennessee Bassett, David; University of Tennessee, White, Jennifer; University of Tennessee
Keyword:	pregnancy, gestational diabetes mellitus, Moderate Intensity, Continuous Glucose Monitoring System, Walking
Is the invited manuscript for consideration in a Special Issue? :	N/A

SCHOLARONE™
 Manuscripts

1 **Postprandial Walking Reduces Glucose Levels in Women with Gestational Diabetes**
2 **Mellitus**

3
4 Dawn P. Coe, Scott A. Conger, Jo M. Kendrick, Bobby C. Howard, Dixie L. Thompson, David
5 R. Bassett, Jr., and Jennifer D. White

6 Dawn P. Coe, Ph.D. **(Corresponding Author)**
7 Department of Kinesiology, Recreation, and Sport Studies
8 University of Tennessee
9 1914 Andy Holt Avenue, 341 HPER
10 Knoxville, TN 37996-2700
11 865-974-0294 (Phone)
12 865-974-8981(Fax)
13 dcoe@utk.edu
14

15 Scott A. Conger, Ph.D.
16 Boise State University
17 Department of Kinesiology
18 910 University Drive
19 Boise, ID 83725
20 scottconger@boisestate.edu
21

22 Jo M. Kendrick, W.H.N.P.-B.C.
23 The University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Medical Center
24 Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
25 1924 Alcoa Hwy Bldg A Ste 435
26 Knoxville, TN 37920
27 JKendrick@utmck.edu
28

29 Bobby C. Howard, M.D.
30 The University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Medical Center
31 Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
32 1924 Alcoa Hwy Bldg A Ste 435
33 Knoxville, TN 37920
34 bhowar12@utmck.edu
35

36 Dixie L. Thompson, Ph.D.
37 The University of Tennessee, Knoxville
38 Department of Kinesiology, Recreation, and Sport Studies
39 111 Student Services Bldg.
40 dixielee@utk.edu
41
42
43
44

Draft

45 David R. Bassett, Jr., Ph.D.
46 The University of Tennessee, Knoxville
47 Department of Kinesiology, Recreation, and Sport Studies
48 1914 Andy Holt Avenue, 328 HPER
49 Knoxville, TN 37996-2700
50 dbassett@utk.edu

51
52 Jennifer D. White, M.S.
53 The University of Tennessee, Knoxville
54 Department of Kinesiology, Recreation, and Sport Studies
55 1914 Andy Holt Avenue, 309 HPER
56 Knoxville, TN 37996-2700
57 white.jennifer.d@gmail.com

58

Draft

59 **Postprandial Walking Reduces Glucose Levels in Women with Gestational Diabetes**
60 **Mellitus**

61

62 **Abstract**

63 The purpose of this study is to investigate blood glucose changes, as measured by a continuous
64 glucose monitoring system, that occur in women with gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM)
65 following an acute bout of moderate-intensity walking after consuming a high carbohydrate/low
66 fat meal. This study found that moderate-intensity walking induced greater postprandial glucose
67 control compared to sedentary activity and it appears that moderate-intensity activity may be
68 used to reduce postprandial glucose levels in women with GDM.

69

70 **Key Words:** Pregnancy, Physical Activity, Continuous Glucose Monitoring System, Moderate
71 Intensity

72 **Introduction**

73 Approximately 5–10% of all pregnant women will develop GDM during their pregnancy
74 (Chen et al., 2016, Public Health Agency of Canada, 2014). Treatment of GDM is critical to
75 preventing complications for both the mother and the fetus. If satisfactory control of blood
76 glucose cannot be achieved through dietary intervention, then insulin may be required. Physical
77 activity is considered an adjunctive therapy, due to the insulin-like effect on glucose uptake in
78 skeletal muscle (ACOG, 2015).

79 Physical activity interventions implemented during pregnancy have resulted in lower
80 fasting glucose levels (Davenport et al., 2008; Jovanovic-Peterson et al., 1989). However,
81 studies investigating blood glucose changes after an acute bout of activity have found only
82 modest changes lasting 45 to 60 minutes post activity. This includes studies incorporating a
83 variety of intensities (light to moderate), modes of activity (cycling, walking), and timing of
84 activity (pre- and postprandial) (Avery & Walker, 2001; Garcia-Patterson et al., 2001; Lesser et
85 al., 1996). Postprandial glucose levels often represent the highest peak in maternal blood
86 glucose, and may have a greater impact on insulin response and fetal glucose uptake compared to
87 fasting glucose levels (Heine et al., 2004).

88 Physical activity recommendations during pregnancy include up to 30 minutes of
89 moderate intensity activity on most or all days of the week (ACOG, 2015; Wolfe & Davies,
90 2003, Colberg et al., 2016). Artal recommended a similar amount of moderate activity to take
91 place approximately 30 minutes following a meal (2003). Observing the time course of changes
92 in postprandial blood glucose levels following moderate-intensity walking may give greater
93 insight into the physiological impact of postprandial physical activity in women with GDM.

94 Therefore, the purpose of this study was to investigate blood glucose changes, as measured by
95 continuous glucose monitoring system (CGMS), that occurred following an acute bout of
96 moderate-intensity walking after consuming a high carbohydrate (CHO)/low fat meal.

97 98 **Materials and Methods**

99 100 **Participants**

101
102 Eight women with GDM (29.2 ± 5.1 y, 1.7 ± 0.6 m, 92.2 ± 23.0 kg) were recruited by a nurse
103 practitioner from a high risk obstetric clinic. Participants were either in their second or third
104 trimester (24–35 weeks), free of any contraindications to physical activity, as outlined in the
105 ACOG guidelines (ACOG, 2015), and not using insulin. The Physical Activity Readiness
106 Medical Examination for Pregnancy (PARmed-X for pregnancy) (Canadian Society for Exercise
107 Physiology, 2002) was completed by a physician for all participants. This study was approved
108 by the Institutional Review Board.

109 110 **Instruments**

111 **Medtronic iPro Recorder CGMS**

112 The *iPro Recorder CGMS* (Medtronic Inc.; Minneapolis, MN) has been validated and
113 used in pregnant women with diabetes (Kerssen et al., 2004, 2005). The CGMS requires the
114 subcutaneous insertion of small catheter containing a glucose sensor and a transmitter. The
115 glucose sensor utilizes interstitial glucose to calculate blood glucose levels, and records and
116 stores glucose measurements every five minutes for multiple days.

117 **Omron HJ-720 ITC Pedometer**

118 The Omron HJ-720 ITC pedometer (Omron, Lake Forest, IL) was worn in the pants
119 pocket to document daily physical activity. This pedometer has been shown to be accurate when

120 worn in this location in pregnant women (Connolly et al., 2011). Steps were averaged for days
121 two and four since 24-hour data were available for each of these days.

122 **Procedures**

123 Each participant began the study on Day 1 and concluded on Day 5. Days 2 and 4 were
124 the experimental days, when the women either walked or remained sedentary. Day 3 did not
125 require women to report to the clinic. On Day 5, the CGMS was removed and the participant
126 returned her glucose logs and pedometer.

127 On Day 1, the CGMS was placed above the hip on the lower back (Medtronic Inc., 2004).
128 On Days 2 and 4, the participants reported to the clinic after fasting at least two hours and were
129 provided a prepackaged meal (60 grams CHO, 10 grams protein, 7 grams fat; ~400 Kcal). After
130 eating, the participants sat for 30 minutes and glucose was assessed using the participants'
131 personal glucometers. The participants were then randomly assigned to either 30 minutes of
132 treadmill walking or 30 minutes of sitting.

133 **Walking Trial**

134 The participants walked for 30 minutes at $80.4 \text{ m}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ (3.3 METs), following physical
135 activity recommendations (ACOG, 2015, Wolfe & Davies, 2003). The speed of the treadmill
136 was adjusted if the intensity of the activity was too high as determined by telemetry-measured
137 heart rate (Davies et al., 2003) (out of recommended range for maternal age), RPE (≥ 14 Borg
138 Scale), or if the participant was uncomfortable walking at that pace. Following the walking bout,
139 blood glucose was assessed. Fetal heart rate was assessed by Doppler (Huntleigh Dopplex FD3;
140 Wales UK) before and within five minutes of completing the walking bout. The recommended
141 fetal heart range is $110\text{--}160 \text{ beats}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ (ACOG, 2009).

142 **Sedentary Trial**

143 During this trial, participants sat and talked with researchers for 30 minutes. Before and
144 after the sedentary trial, blood glucose and fetal heart rates were assessed.

145 **Statistical Analyses**

146 The primary outcome variables evaluated were postprandial (i.e., immediately prior to the
147 walking bout or sedentary bout) and post-treatment (i.e., immediately following the walking bout
148 or sedentary bout) glucose levels obtained from glucometers and continuous, 24-h CGMS blood
149 glucose measurements. Glucose and the area under the curve for glucose (AUC_{Glucose}) from one
150 until six hours postprandial were calculated from CGMS output. Differences in glucose levels
151 and AUC_{Glucose} were analyzed over time using paired t-tests and a repeated-measures ANOVA.
152 An alpha level of $p < 0.05$ was used to determine significance. In order to obtain a power of 0.80,
153 a sample size of eight participants was needed. Post-hoc power analysis indicated an effect size
154 of 2.32, with a measured power of 0.999. All analyses were done using SPSS Statistics, Version
155 17.0.

156 **Results**

157 There was one brief incidence of hypoglycemia (≤ 3.9 mg/dL) in a single subject that was
158 resolved in the following hour. All measured fetal heart rates were within the normal range.
159 Thirty-minute postprandial glucose (pre-treatment) was not different between the walking and
160 sedentary trials. Immediate post-treatment glucose ($p < 0.01$) and two-hour postprandial glucose
161 levels ($p < 0.05$) were lower in the walking compared to the sedentary trial (Figure 1). When all
162 glucose values for the 24-h periods following the trials were averaged, glucose measurements
163 were not significantly different [5.1 ± 0.2 mmol \cdot L $^{-1}$ (walking) vs. 5.2 ± 0.5 mg \cdot dL $^{-1}$ (sedentary)].

164 AUC_{Glucose} was lower for the walking bout compared to the sedentary trial, for three hours after
165 the meal (Table 1). There was a trend towards differences in AUC_{Glucose} between the walking
166 and sedentary trials in hours 4-6 after the meal (Table 1).

167 Maternal heart rate averaged 134 ± 12 beats \cdot min⁻¹ (walking) and 85 ± 9 beats \cdot min⁻¹
168 (sedentary). Fetal heart rate averaged 147 ± 7 beats \cdot min⁻¹ following the walking trial and 142 ± 11
169 beats \cdot min⁻¹ after the sedentary trial. Pedometer step counts for the women averaged $7,111 \pm 1,221$
170 steps on the walking day and $3,838 \pm 1,925$ steps on the sedentary day. The women averaged
171 approximately 3,300 steps during the 30-minute walking trial, which accounts for this step
172 difference.

173 Discussion

174 This study utilized a bout of physical activity consistent with current guidelines and
175 investigated blood glucose changes in women with GDM after an acute bout of moderate-
176 intensity walking following a high CHO/low fat meal. The primary findings indicate that a 30-
177 minute bout of moderate intensity walking resulted in lower postprandial glucose levels for two
178 hours after activity and better glucose control (AUC_{glucose}) for up to three hours postprandial on
179 the walking day compared to the sedentary day.

180 There is limited research regarding blood glucose changes after an acute bout of physical
181 activity. Avery and Walker (2001) looked at glucose levels under three conditions: resting,
182 cycling at a low intensity, and cycling at a moderate-intensity two hours after a meal. The
183 authors found that the women had more favorable blood glucose levels for up to 45 minutes after
184 exercise compared to the rest day only with the moderate intensity cycling (Avery & Walker,
185 2001). Garcia-Paterson and colleagues (2001) compared blood glucose levels of women with

186 GDM after the women completed a 60-minute walk ($2.52 \text{ km}\cdot\text{hr}^{-1}$) immediately following a
187 meal. Results showed a decreased postprandial glucose excursion for one hour after the meal
188 (Garcia-Patterson et al., 2001). The findings from these studies suggest that physical activity
189 after a meal has a greater impact on postprandial glucose levels in women with GDM, compared
190 to activity before a meal. In the current study, the women performed a bout of moderate
191 intensity walking 30 minutes after a meal, and the results showed better glucose control for up to
192 three hours postprandial.

193 The duration of glucose control in the current study is greater than what was previously
194 reported. In addition to the timing of the activity, these results could also possibly be due to the
195 use of weight-bearing, moderate-intensity activity. This type and intensity of activity may
196 increase the magnitude of physical activity's effect on glucose control in women with GDM.
197 Avery and Walker utilized cycling (non-weight-bearing activity) at low and moderate intensities
198 and only found an effect with moderate intensity (2001). Garcia-Paterson et al. (2001) had their
199 participants walk at a low intensity. Although they utilized low intensity activity, the weight-
200 bearing nature may be responsible for the increased glucose control for a slightly longer duration
201 compared to Avery and Walker (Garcia-Patterson et al., 2001). Moderate-intensity, weight
202 bearing activity also leads to a greater caloric expenditure compared to non-weight-bearing,
203 moderate-intensity activity, which may enhance glucose uptake by the muscles.

204 The current study found significant improvements in glucose control for up to three hours
205 postprandial following an acute bout of activity. Heine et al. (2004) has suggested that
206 researchers and clinicians focus on postprandial glucose levels instead of fasting blood glucose.
207 Postprandial glucose levels may have a greater impact on disease-related complications and
208 adverse effects on individuals with diabetes. Higher peak postprandial glucose levels can

209 potentially induce a hyperglycemic state; increasing the amount of glucose supplied to the fetus.
210 Although this has not been an area of focus in women with GDM, it is possible that higher
211 postprandial glucose levels, which tend to exceed fasting glucose levels, may have a greater
212 negative impact on the fetus compared to higher fasting glucose levels (Heine et al., 2004).

213 Study limitations include a small sample size and limited dietary control. However, there
214 was sufficient power to find significant differences in postprandial glucose levels. Detailed
215 dietary data were available for the meals provided on the study days, but no dietary data on the
216 remainder of the study days are available. A significant strength of this study was the use of the
217 CGMS, which allowed the researchers to monitor time-course changes in blood glucose values
218 that result from food intake and activity. Additionally, the activity prescribed during this study
219 aligned with current guidelines.

220 In conclusion, moderate-intensity walking had a positive impact on postprandial glucose
221 levels for up to two hours and better glucose control (AUC_{glucose}) for up to three hours after the
222 meal. These findings suggest that women who participate in postprandial activity consistent with
223 the guidelines can reduce postprandial glucose levels and improve glucose profiles. Future
224 studies should investigate the thresholds for duration, intensity, and timing of activity, and
225 impact of chronic physical activity participation on postprandial glucose and glucose control in
226 women with GDM.

227 **Conflict of Interest Disclaimer**

228 The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose regarding this manuscript.

229

230 **Acknowledgements**

231 The authors would like to acknowledge the staff at the high-risk obstetrical clinic, Chris
232 Connolly for assistance with the IRB application, Doree Gardner for manuscript editing, and
233 Dana Wolff and Brittney Wiseman for assistance with data collection.

234

Draft

235 **References**

- 236 American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology. 2015. ACOG committee opinion: Physical
237 Activity and Exercise during Pregnancy and the Postpartum Period. 2015. Number 650.
238 PMID: 16319279.
- 239 American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology. 2009. ACOG Practice Bulletin No. 106:
240 Intrapartum fetal heart rate monitoring: nomenclature, interpretation, and general
241 management principles. (2009). *Obstet. Gynecol.* 114(1): 192-202. DOI:
242 10.1097/AOG.0b013e3181aef106. PMID: 19546798.
- 243
244 Artal, R. 2003. Exercise: the alternative therapeutic intervention for gestational diabetes. *Clin.*
245 *Obstet. Gynecol.* 46(2): 479-487. PMID: 12808397.
- 246 Avery, M. D., & Walker, A. J. 2001. Acute effect of exercise on blood glucose and insulin levels
247 in women with gestational diabetes. *J. Matern. Fetal. Med.* 10(1): 52-58. PMID:
248 11332421.
- 249 Bung, P., Artal, R., Khodiguian, N., & Kjos, S. 1991. Exercise in gestational diabetes. An
250 optional therapeutic approach? *Diabetes*, 40 (Suppl 2): 182-185. PMID: 1748256.
- 251 Canadian Society for Exercise Physiology. 2002. Physical Activity Readiness Medical
252 Examination for Pregnancy. Retrieved April 24, 2017, from
253 <http://www.csep.ca/cmfiles/publications/parq/parmed-xpreg.pdf>
- 254 Chen, L., Mayo, R., Chatry, A., & Hu, G. 2016. Gestational Diabetes Mellitus: Its Epidemiology
255 and Implication beyond Pregnancy. *Curr. Epidemiol. Rep.* 3(1):1-11.
256 doi:10.1007/s40471-016-0063-y.
- 257 Colberg, S.R., Sigal, R.J., Yardley, J.E., Riddell, M.C., Dunstan, D.W., Dempsey, P.C., Horton,

- 258 E.S., Castorino, K. & Tate, D.F. 2016. Physical Activity/Exercise and Diabetes: A
259 Position Statement of the American Diabetes Association *Diabetes Care*. 39(11): 2065-
260 2079. doi:10.2337/dc16-1728.
- 261 Connolly, C. P., Coe, D. P., Kendrick, J. M., Bassett, D. R., Jr., & Thompson, D. L. 2011.
262 Accuracy of physical activity monitors in pregnant women. *Med. Sci. Sports. Exerc.*
263 43(6): 1100-1105. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0b013e3182058883. PMID: 21085037.
- 264 Davenport, M. H., Mottola, M. F., McManus, R., & Gratton, R. 2008. A walking intervention
265 improves capillary glucose control in women with gestational diabetes mellitus: a pilot
266 study. *Appl. Physiol. Nutr. Metab.* 33(3): 511-517. doi: 10.1139/H08-018. PMID:
267 18461104.
- 268 Davies, G. A., Wolfe, L. A., Mottola, M. F., MacKinnon, C., Society of Obstetricians &
269 Gynecologists of Canada. 2003. Joint SOGC/CSEP clinical practice guideline: exercise in
270 pregnancy and the postpartum period. *Can. J. Appl. Physiol.* 28(3): 330-341. PMID:
271 12955862
- 272 Garcia-Patterson, A., Martin, E., Ubeda, J., Maria, M. A., de Leiva, A., & Corcoy, R. 2001.
273 Evaluation of light exercise in the treatment of gestational diabetes. *Diabetes Care*,
274 24(11): 2006-2007. PMID: 11679479.
- 275 Heine, R. J., Balkau, B., Ceriello, A., Del Prato, S., Horton, E. S., & Taskinen, M. R. 2004. What
276 does postprandial hyperglycaemia mean? *Diabet. Med.* 21(3): 208-213. PMID:
277 15008828.
- 278 Jovanovic-Peterson, L., Durak, E. P., & Peterson, C. M. 1989. Randomized trial of diet versus
279 diet plus cardiovascular conditioning on glucose levels in gestational diabetes. *Am. J.*
280 *Obstet. Gynecol.* 161(2): 415-419. PMID: 2764059.

- 281 Kerssen, A., de Valk, H. W., & Visser, G. H. 2004. The Continuous Glucose Monitoring System
282 during pregnancy of women with type 1 diabetes mellitus: accuracy assessment. *Diabetes*
283 *Technol. Ther.* 6(5): 645-651. doi: 10.1089/dia.2004.6.645. PMID: 15628818.
- 284
285 Kerssen, A., De Valk, H. W., & Visser, G. H. 2005. Validation of the Continuous Glucose
286 Monitoring System (CGMS) by the use of two CGMS simultaneously in pregnant women
287 with type 1 diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Technol. Ther.* 7(5): 699-706; discussion 707-699.
288 doi: 10.1089/dia.2005.7.699. PMID: 16241871.
- 289
290 Lesser, K. B., Gruppuso, P. A., Terry, R. B., & Carpenter, M. W. 1996. Exercise fails to improve
291 postprandial glycemic excursion in women with gestational diabetes. *J. Matern. Fetal*
292 *Med.* 5(4): 211-217. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1520-6661(199607/08)5:4<211::AID-
293 MFM9>3.0.CO;2-N. PMID: 8796796.
- 294
295 Manders, R. J., Van Dijk, J. W., & van Loon, L. J. 2010. Low-intensity exercise reduces the
296 prevalence of hyperglycemia in type 2 diabetes. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 42(2): 219-225.
297 doi: 10.1249/MSS.0b013e3181b3b16d. PMID: 19927038.
- 298 Medtronic, Inc. 2004. Sof-Sensor - Glucose Sensor User Guide.
- 299 Public Health Agency of Canada. 2014. *Maternal Diabetes in Canada*. Ottawa, Ontario, Canada.
- 300 Wolfe, L. A. and Davies, G. A. 2003. Canadian guidelines for exercise in pregnancy. *Clin.*
301 *Obstet. Gynecol.* 46(2): 488-495. PMID: 12808398.

302

303

304 **Table 1. AUC_{Glucose} values for walking and sedentary trials following a meal**

Time after meal	AUC_{Glucose} Walking Trial	AUC_{Glucose} Sedentary Trial	<i>p</i> value
One hour	5.3 ± 0.2	6.5 ± 0.7	0.001
Two hours	10.2 ± 0.5	12.0 ± 1.2	0.003
Three hours	15.3 ± 1.2	17.2 ± 1.7	0.018
Four hours	20.5 ± 1.9	22.7 ± 2.4	0.058
Five hours	25.5 ± 2.6	28.3 ± 3.2	0.057
Six hours	30.3 ± 3.3	33.8 ± 4.0	0.053

305

306 **Figure Caption**

307 **Figure 1. Postprandial blood glucose levels following walking and sedentary trials. The**
308 **solid line represents glucose levels during walking trial and the dashed line represents**
309 **glucose levels during the sedentary trial.**

